Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s) NAGASAWA ET AL.	
10/542,618		
Examiner	Art Unit	
JONATHAN CREPEAU	1725	

	JONATHAN CHEFEAU	1725				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 08 June 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidati, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.131; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box of MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.138(a) and the appropriate extension fear have been filled it the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fear under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action: or (2) is set forth in (b) above, if checked, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed may reduce any seamed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAU. 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on						
						AMENDMENTS
∑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bel	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	ΓE below);				
appeal; and/or	9,9					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1						
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. 		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).			
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 		•	_			
7. \(\subseteq \text{ for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \(\subseteq \text{ will not be entered, or b) } \subseteq \text{ will be entered and an explanat how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: \(\text{Claim(s) allowed:} \) \(\text{Claim(s) elloeted is: } \) \(\text{Claim(s) rejected: } \text{16 and } \text{17}. \) \(\text{Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:} \)						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, we nettered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 4.133(d)(1)						
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:					
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:						
	/Jonathan Crepeau/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1725				

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed deletion of the sultonated styrene/butadiene copolymer species raises new issues requiring further search of the other species.